

Appl. No. 10/805,048  
Amtd. Dated Jan. 12, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of November 30, 2005

### **REMARKS**

Applicant has reviewed the entire specification. Applicant currently has rewritten claims 3 and 8 in independent form, as per the Examiner's instruction; has also amended claim 9; and has canceled claims 1 and 6. The claims 3-5, 8-11 are pending in this application.

#### ***Claim Objection***

The Examiner has objected to claim 6 on informal grounds. In response, claim 6 has been canceled.

#### ***Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103***

The Examiner has indicated that "claims 1 and 6 are rejected", so, in response, claims 1 and 6 have been canceled in this amendment.

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

The Examiner has indicated that "claims 9-11 are allowed," for which courtesy the Examiner is thanked. In particular, the Examiner indicated that the prior art fails to teach or suggest "a second square wave generator comprising a second comparator", as required by claim 9. Applicant has amended claim 9 to simplify the connection needed between "the power source" and "a source of the field effect transistor". However, claim 9, as amended, still includes the allowable feature of the "second square wave generator comprising a second comparator". As such, Applicant submits that claim 9, as amended, is still in condition for allowance, even upon amendment in the manner set forth above.

The Examiner has indicated that "claims 3-5 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if

Appl. No. 10/805,048  
Amdt. Dated Jan. 12, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of November 30, 2005

rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims", for which courtesy the Examiner is thanked. In response, claims 3 and 8 have been rewritten in independent form, and Applicant submits that such claims are now in condition for allowance. Further, claims 4 and 5 depend directly or indirectly from allowable claim 3. As such, claims 4 and 5 should also be found allowable.

In view of the foregoing, the present application as claimed in the pending claims is considered to be in a condition for allowance, and an action to such effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Jyh Chain Lin

By   
Jeffrey T. Knapp

Registration No.: 45,384

Foxconn International, Inc.

1650 Memorex Drive

Santa Clara, CA 95050

Tel. No.: 714/626-1229